### CS70: Discrete Math and Probability.

First (half) week ... Almost Done! Yaay! I hope you are getting into the flow. Waitlist/concurrent enrollment. Waitlist: in the past have gotten people in. Can't promise. Concurrent Enrollment: not always accomodated. New scheme this year makes it easier. Keep up, send email to fa17@eecs.org to get enrolled in gradescope, etc. More for all quantifiers examples. "doubling a number always makes it larger"  $(\forall x \in N) (2x > x)$  False Consider x = 0Can fix statement...  $(\forall x \in N) (2x \ge x)$  True • "Square of any natural number greater than 5 is greater than 25."  $(\forall x \in N)(x > 5 \implies x^2 > 25).$ Idea alert: Restrict domain using implication. Note that we may omit universe if clear from context.

# Last Time: The Language of Proofs. Propositions: Statements that are true or false. 3 > 2. Propositional Forms. $P \lor Q, P \land Q, \neg P, P \Longrightarrow Q$ Truth Tables/Logical Equivalence. $\neg P \lor Q \equiv P \Longrightarrow Q.$ $\neg Q \implies \neg P \equiv P \implies Q.$ Predicates: Statements with free variables whose values determine truth. P(x) = x > 2.Quantifiers: $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 2.$ $\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 2.$ Universe: The milky way. Kidding, Just trying to keep everyone awake. $\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R},\ldots$ Quantifiers..not commutative. ▶ In English: "there is a natural number that is the square of every natural number".

 $(\exists y \in N) (\forall x \in N) (y = x^2)$  False

In English: "the square of every natural number is a natural number."

 $(\forall x \in N)(\exists y \in N) (y = x^2)$  True

#### Back to: Wason's experiment:1 Theory: "If a person travels to Chicago, he/she flies."

Suppose you see that Alice went to Baltimore, Bob drove, Charlie went to Chicago, and Donna flew.

Which cards do you need to flip to test the theory?

P(x) = "Person x went to Chicago." Q(x) = "Person x flew"

Statement/theory:  $\forall x \in \{A, B, C, D\}, P(x) \implies Q(x)$ 

P(A) = False. Do we care about Q(A)? No.  $P(A) \implies Q(A)$ , when P(A) is False, Q(A) can be anything.

 $\begin{array}{l} Q(B) = \mbox{False} \ . \ \mbox{Do we care about } P(B)? \\ \mbox{Yes. } P(B) \implies Q(B) \equiv \neg Q(B) \implies \neg P(B). \\ \mbox{So } P(Bob) \ \mbox{must be False} \ . \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} P(C) = {\rm True} \ . \ {\rm Do} \ {\rm we} \ {\rm care} \ {\rm about} \ Q(C)? \\ {\rm Yes.} \ P(C) \implies Q(C) \ {\rm means} \ Q(C) \ {\rm must} \ {\rm be} \ {\rm true}. \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Q(D) = \mbox{True} \ . \ \mbox{Do we care about } P(D)? \\ \mbox{No. } P(D) \implies Q(D) \ \mbox{holds whatever } P(D) \ \mbox{is when } Q(D) \ \mbox{is true.} \end{array}$ 

Only have to turn over cards for Bob and Charlie.

# Quantifiers....negation...DeMorgan again.

Consider

 $\neg(\forall x \in S)(P(x)),$ 

English: there is an x in S where P(x) does not hold. That is,

 $\neg(\forall x \in S)(P(x)) \iff \exists (x \in S)(\neg P(x)).$ 

#### What we do in this course! We consider claims.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Claim:} (\forall x) \ P(x) & \text{"For all inputs x the program works."} \\ \textbf{For False , find } x, where \neg P(x). \\ \textbf{Counterexample.} \\ \textbf{Bad input.} \\ \textbf{Case that illustrates bug.} \\ \textbf{For True : prove claim! What we do in this course!} \end{array}$ 

Negation of exists.	Which Theorem?
Consider $\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$ English: means that for all $x$ in $S$ , $P(x)$ does not hold. That is, $\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x)) \iff \forall (x \in S) \neg P(x).$	Theorem: $(\forall n \in N) \neg ((\exists a, b, c \in N) (n \ge 3 \implies a^n + b^n = c^n))$ Which Theorem? Fermat's Last Theorem! Remember Special Triangles: for $n = 2$ , we have 3,4,5 and 5,7, 12 and 1637: Proof doesn't fit in the margins. 1993: Wiles(based in part on Ribet's Theorem) Movie – "Nova: The Proof." DeMorgan Restatement: Theorem: $\neg (\exists n \in N) (\exists a, b, c \in N) (n \ge 3 \implies a^n + b^n = c^n)$
From the langauge of Proofs	Yaay!
to Proofs.	And now: Proofs!!! 1. By Example. 2. Direct. (Prove $P \implies Q$ .) 3. by Contraposition (Prove $P \implies Q$ ) 4. by Contradiction (Prove <i>P</i> .) 5. by Cases

#### Summary.

Propositions are statements that are true or false. Proprositional forms use  $\land,\lor,\neg$ . Propositional forms correspond to truth tables. Logical equivalence of forms means same truth tables. Implication:  $P \implies Q \iff \neg P \lor Q$ . Contrapositive:  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ Converse:  $Q \implies P$ Predicates: Statements with "free" variables. Quantifiers:  $\forall x \ P(x), \exists y \ Q(y)$ Now can state theorems! And disprove false ones! DeMorgans Laws: "Flip and Distribute negation"  $\neg (P \lor Q) \iff (\neg P \land \neg Q)$  $\neg \forall x P(x) \iff \exists x \neg P(x).$ A bit dry... Why? Quick Background and Notation. Integers closed under addition.  $a, b \in Z \implies a + b \in Z$ ab means "a divides b". 2|4? Yes! Since for q = 2, 4 = (2)2. 7|23? No! No q where true. 4|2? No! Formally:  $a|b \iff \exists q \in Z$  where b = aq. 3|15 since for q = 5, 15 = 3(5). A natural number p > 1, is **prime** if it is divisible only by 1 and itself.

### Direct Proof.

# Theorem: For any $a, b, c \in Z$ , if a|b and a|c then a|(b-c). Proof: Assume a|b and a|c b = aq and c = aq' where $q, q' \in Z$ b-c = aq - aq' = a(q-q') Done? (b-c) = a(q-q') and (q-q') is an integer so a|(b-c)Works for $\forall a, b, c$ ? Argument applies to *every* $a, b, c \in Z$ . Direct Proof Form: Goal: $P \implies Q$ Assume P. ... Therefore Q.

### Another Direct Proof.

```
Theorem: \forall n \in D_3, (11|n) \implies (11|alt. sum of digits of n)

Proof: Assume 11|n.

n = 100a + 10b + c = 11k \implies

99a + 11b + (a - b + c) = 11k \implies

a - b + c = 11k - 99a - 11b \implies

a - b + c = 11k - 99a - b) \implies

a - b + c = 11\ell where \ell = (k - 9a - b) \in Z

That is 11|alternating sum of digits.

Note: similar proof to other. In this case every \implies is \iff

Often works with arithmetic properties ...

...not when multiplying by 0.

We have.

Theorem: \forall n \in N', (11|alt. sum of digits of n) \iff (11|n)
```

### Another direct proof. Let $D_3$ be the 3 digit natural numbers. Theorem: For $n \in D_3$ , if the alternating sum of digits of *n* is divisible by 11, than 11/n. $\forall n \in D_3, (11 | alt. sum of digits of n) \implies 11 | n$ Examples: n = 121 Alt Sum: 1 - 2 + 1 = 0. Divis. by 11. As is 121. n = 605 Alt Sum: 6 - 0 + 5 = 11 Divis. by 11. As is 605 = 11(55)**Proof:** For $n \in D_3$ , n = 100a + 10b + c, for some *a*, *b*, *c*. Assume: Alt. sum: a - b + c = 11k for some integer k. Add 99a + 11b to both sides. 100a + 10b + c = 11k + 99a + 11b = 11(k + 9a + b)Left hand side is n, k+9a+b is integer. $\implies 11|n$ . Direct proof of $P \implies Q$ : Assumed P: 11|a-b+c. Proved Q: 11|n.

## Proof by Contraposition

Thm: For  $n \in Z^+$  and d|n. If n is odd then d is odd. n = 2k + 1 what do we know about d? What to do? Is it even true? Hey, that rhymes ...and there is a pun ... colored blue. Anyway, what to do? Goal: Prove  $P \implies Q$ . Assume  $\neg Q$ ...and prove  $\neg P$ . Conclusion:  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$  equivalent to  $P \implies Q$ . **Proof:** Assume  $\neg Q$ : d is even. d = 2k. d|n so we have n = qd = q(2k) = 2(kq)n is even.  $\neg P$ 

### The Converse

```
Thm: \forall n \in D_3, (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n) \implies 11|n
Is converse a theorem?
\forall n \in D_3, (11|n) \implies (11|\text{alt. sum of digits of } n)
Yes? No?
```

# Another Contraposition...

```
Lemma: For every n in N, n^2 is even \implies n is even. (P \implies Q)

n^2 is even, n^2 = 2k, ...\sqrt{2k} even?

Proof by contraposition: (P \implies Q) \equiv (\neg Q \implies \neg P)

P = 'n^2 is even'. ...........\neg P = 'n^2 is odd'

Q = 'n is even'. .............\neg Q = 'n is odd'

Prove \neg Q \implies \neg P: n is odd \implies n^2 is odd.

n = 2k + 1

n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1.

n^2 = 2l + 1 where l is a natural number..

... and n^2 is odd!

\neg Q \implies \neg P so P \implies Q and ...
```

### Proof by contradiction:form

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. Must show: For every  $a, b \in Z$ ,  $(\frac{a}{b})^2 \neq 2$ . A simple property (equality) should always "not" hold. Proof by contradiction: **Theorem:** P.  $\neg P \implies P_1 \dots \implies R$   $\neg P \implies Q_1 \dots \implies \neg R$   $\neg P \implies R \land \neg R \equiv \text{False}$   $\neg P \implies False$ Contrapositive: True  $\implies P$ . Theorem P is proven.

### Product of first k primes..

#### Did we prove?

- "The product of the first *k* primes plus 1 is prime."
- No.
- > The chain of reasoning started with a false statement.

#### Consider example ..

- $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13 + 1 = 30031 = 59 \times 509$
- There is a prime *in between* 13 and q = 30031 that divides q.
- Proof assumed no primes in between p<sub>k</sub> and q.

#### Contradiction

**Theorem:**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. Assume  $\neg P$ :  $\sqrt{2} = a/b$  for  $a, b \in Z$ . Reduced form: *a* and *b* have no common factors.

 $\sqrt{2}b = a$ 

# $2b^2 = a^2 = 4k^2$

 $a^2$  is even  $\implies a$  is even. a = 2k for some integer k

# $b^2 = 2k^2$

 $b^2$  is even  $\implies b$  is even. *a* and *b* have a common factor. Contradiction.

And...

Happy Friday! Enjoy your weekend... ...and take care.

# Proof by contradiction: example

Theorem: There are infinitely many primes. Proof:

Assume finitely many primes: p<sub>1</sub>,...,p<sub>k</sub>.

Consider number

#### $q = (p_1 \times p_2 \times \cdots p_k) + 1.$

- q cannot be one of the primes as it is larger than any p<sub>i</sub>.
- q has prime divisor p ("p > 1" = R) which is one of  $p_i$ .
- *p* divides both  $x = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k$  and *q*, and divides x q,
- $\implies p|x-q \implies p \le x-q = 1. \text{ or } p|1.$
- ▶ so  $p \le 1$ . (Contradicts *R*.)

The original assumption that "the theorem is false" is false, thus the theorem is proven.