Modular Arithmetic

Inverses.

Euclid's Algorithm

Modular Arithmetic: refresher.

x **is congruent to** y **modulo** m or "$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$"
if and only if $(x-y)$ is divisible by m.
...or x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m.
...or $x = y + km$ for some integer k.

Mod 7 equivalence classes:
$\{\ldots,-7,0,7,14,\ldots\}$ $\{\ldots,-6,1,8,15,\ldots\}$ ...

Useful Fact: Addition, subtraction, multiplication can be done with any equivalent x and y.

Can calculate with representative in $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$.

Example: $365 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.

Next year its 1 day later!

Notation

$x \pmod{m}$ or $\mod(x,m)$
- remainder of x divided by m in $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$.

$\mod(x,m) = x - \lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor m$

$\lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor$ is quotient.

$\mod(29,12) = 29 - (\lfloor \frac{29}{12} \rfloor) \times 12 = 29 - (2) \times 12 = \not{4} = 5$

Work in this system.
$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.
Says two integers a and b are equivalent modulo m.

Modulus is m

$6 \equiv 3+3 \equiv 3+10 \pmod{7}$.

$6 = 3+3 = 3+10 \pmod{7}$.

Generally, not $6 \pmod{7} = 13 \pmod{7}$.
But ok, if you really want.

Inverses and Factors.

Division: multiply by multiplicative inverse.

$$2x = 3 \implies (\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 2x = (\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 3 \implies x = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Multiplicative inverse of x is y where $xy = 1$;
1 is multiplicative identity element.

In modular arithmetic, 1 is the multiplicative identity element.

Multiplicative inverse of x **mod** m is y with $xy = 1 \pmod{m}$.

For 4 modulo 7 inverse is 2: $2 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.

Can solve $4x = 5 \pmod{7}$.
$x = 3 \pmod{7}$ ::: Check! $4(3) = 12 = 5 \pmod{7}$.
$2 \cdot 4x = 2 \cdot 5 \pmod{7}$
$8x = 10 \pmod{7}$
$x = 3 \pmod{7}$
Check! $4(3) = 12 = 5 \pmod{7}$.

For 8 modulo 12: no multiplicative inverse!
"Common factor of 4" $\implies$
$8k - 12\ell$ is a multiple of four for any ℓ and k $\implies$
$8k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ for any k.

Greatest Common Divisor and Inverses.

Thm:
If **greatest common divisor** of x and m, $\gcd(x,m)$, is 1, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

Proof $\implies$**:** The set $S = \{0x, 1x, \ldots, (m-1)x\}$ contains $y \equiv 1 \mod m$ if all distinct modulo m.

Pigenhole principle: Each of m numbers in S correspond to different one of m equivalence classes modulo m.
$\implies$ One must correspond to 1 modulo m.

If not distinct, then $\exists a,b \in \{0,\ldots,m-1\}$, $a \neq b$, where
$(ax \equiv bx \pmod{m}) \implies (a-b)x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$
Or $(a-b)x = km$ for some integer k.

$gcd(x,m) = 1$
$\implies$ Prime factorization of m and x do not contain common primes.
$\implies$ $(a-b)$ factorization contains all primes in m's factorization.
So $(a-b)$ has to be multiple of m.
$\implies$ $(a-b) \geq m$. But $a,b \in \{0,...m-1\}$. Contradiction. $\square$

Proof review. Consequence.

Thm: If $\gcd(x,m) = 1$, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

Proof Sketch: The set $S = \{0x, 1x, \ldots, (m-1)x\}$ contains $y \equiv 1 \mod m$ if all distinct modulo m.
... $\square$

For $x = 4$ and $m = 6$. All products of 4...
$S = \{0(4), 1(4), 2(4), 3(4), 4(4), 5(4)\} = \{0,4,8,12,16,20\}$
reducing $\pmod{6}$
$S = \{0,4,2,0,4,2\}$
Not distinct. Common factor 2.

For $x = 5$ and $m = 6$.
$S = \{0(5), 1(5), 2(5), 3(5), 4(5), 5(5)\} = \{0,5,4,3,2,1\}$
All distinct, contains 1! 5 is multiplicative inverse of 5 $\pmod{6}$.

$5x = 3 \pmod{6}$ What is x? Multiply both sides by 5.
$x = 15 = 3 \pmod{6}$

$4x = 3 \pmod{6}$ No solutions. Can't get an odd.
$4x = 2 \pmod{6}$ Two solutions! $x = 2,5 \pmod{6}$

Very different for elements with inverses.

Proof Review 2: Bijections.

If gcd(x,m) = 1.
Then the function $f(a) = xa \mod m$ is a bijection.
One to one: there is a unique inverse.
Onto: the sizes of the **domain** and **co-domain** are the same.
$x = 3, m = 4$.
$f(1) = 3(1) = 3 \pmod 4, f(2) = 6 = 2 \pmod 4, f(3) = 1 \pmod 3$.
Oh yeah. $f(0) = 0$.

Bijection $\equiv$ unique inverse and same size.
Proved unique inverse.

$x = 2, m = 4$.
$f(1) = 2, f(2) = 0, f(3) = 2$
Oh yeah. $f(0) = 0$.

Not a bijection.

Finding inverses.

How to find the inverse?

How to find **if** x has an inverse modulo m?

Find gcd (x, m).
Greater than 1? No multiplicative inverse.
Equal to 1? Mutliplicative inverse.

Algorithm: Try all numbers up to x to see if it divides both x and m.

Very slow.

Inverses

Next up.

Euclid's Algorithm.
Runtime.
Euclid's Extended Algorithm.

Refresh

Does 2 have an inverse mod 8? No.
Any multiple of 2 is 2 away from $0 + 8k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Does 2 have an inverse mod 9? Yes. 5
$2(5) = 10 = 1 \mod 9$.

Does 6 have an inverse mod 9? No.
Any multiple of 6 is 3 away from $0 + 9k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
$3 = gcd(6, 9)$!

x has an inverse modulo m if and only if
$gcd(x, m) > 1$? No.
$gcd(x, m) = 1$? Yes.

Now what?:
Compute gcd!
Compute Inverse modulo m.

Divisibility...

Notation: $d|x$ means "d divides x" or
$x = kd$ for some integer k.

Fact: If $d|x$ and $d|y$ then $d|(x + y)$ and $d|(x - y)$.

Is it a fact? Yes? No?

Proof: $d|x$ and $d|y$ or
$x = \ell d$ and $y = kd$

$\implies x - y = kd - \ell d = (k - \ell)d \implies d|(x - y)$ □

More divisibility

Notation: $d|x$ means "d divides x" or
$x = kd$ for some integer k.

Lemma 1: If $d|x$ and $d|y$ then $d|y$ and $d| \mod (x, y)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mod (x, y) &= x - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot y \\ &= x - \lfloor s \rfloor \cdot y \quad \text{for integer } s \\ &= kd - s\ell d \quad \text{for integers } k, \ell \text{ where } x = kd \text{ and } y = \ell d \\ &= (k - s\ell)d \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d| \mod (x, y)$. And $d|y$ since it is in condition. □

Lemma 2: If $d|y$ and $d| \mod (x, y)$ then $d|y$ and $d|x$.
Proof...: Similar. Try this at home. □ish.

GCD Mod Corollary: $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, \mod (x, y))$.
Proof: x and y have **same** set of common divisors as x and $\mod (x, y)$ by Lemma.
Same common divisors $\implies$ largest is the same. □

Euclid's algorithm.

GCD Mod Corollary: $\gcd(x,y) = \gcd(y, \bmod(x,y))$.

Hey, what's $\gcd(7,0)$? 7 since 7 divides 7 and 7 divides 0
What's $\gcd(x,0)$? x

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
    x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))  ***
```

Theorem: (euclid x y) $= \gcd(x,y)$ if $x \geq y$.

Proof: Use Strong Induction.
Base Case: $y = 0$, "x divides y and x"
$\implies$ "x is common divisor and clearly largest."
Induction Step: $\bmod(x,y) < y \leq x$ when $x \geq y$

call in line (***) meets conditions plus arguments "smaller"
and by strong induction hypothesis
computes $\gcd(y, \bmod(x,y))$
which is $\gcd(x,y)$ by GCD Mod Corollary. □

Excursion: Value and Size.

Before discussing running time of gcd procedure...

What is the value of 1,000,000?

one million or 1,000,000!

What is the "size" of 1,000,000?

Number of digits: 7.

Number of bits: 21.

For a number x, what is its size in bits?

$$n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$$

Euclid procedure is fast.

Theorem: (euclid x y) uses $2n$ "divisions" where $n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$.

Is this good? Better than trying all numbers in $\{2, \ldots y/2\}$?

Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 ..., check $y/2$.

If $y \approx x$ roughly y uses n bits ...
2^{n-1} divisions! Exponential dependence on size!

101 bit number. $2^{100} \approx 10^{30} =$ "million, trillion, trillion" divisions!

$2n$ is much faster! .. roughly 200 divisions.

Algorithms at work.

Trying everything

Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 ..., check $y/2$.

"(gcd x y)" at work.

```
euclid(700,568)
  euclid(568, 132)
    euclid(132, 40)
      euclid(40, 12)
        euclid(12, 4)
          euclid(4, 0)
            4
```

Notice: The first argument decreases rapidly.
At least a factor of 2 in two recursive calls.

(The second is less than the first.)

Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
 (if (= y 0)
     x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))
```

Theorem: (euclid x y) uses $O(n)$ "divisions" where $n = b(x)$.

Proof:

Fact:
First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After $2\log_2 x = O(n)$ recursive calls, argument x is 1 bit number.
One more recursive call to finish.
1 division per recursive call.
$O(n)$ divisions. □

Proof of Fact: Recall that first argument decreases every call.
Case 1: $y < x/2$, first argument is y
$\implies$ true in one recursive call;
Case 2: Will show "$y \geq x/2 \implies mod(x,y) \leq x/2$."
$\bmod(x,y)$ is second argument in next recursive call,
and becomes the first argument in the next one.
When $y \geq x/2$, then $\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1$,

$$\bmod(x,y) = x - y\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2 = x/2$$

□

Finding an inverse?

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse.

Extend euclid to find inverse.

Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
 (if (= y 0)
     x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))
```

Computes the $\gcd(x,y)$ in $O(n)$ divisions.

For x and m, if $\gcd(x,m)=1$ then x has an inverse modulo m.

Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse.

How do we **find** a multiplicative inverse?

Extended GCD

Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem: For any x,y there are integers a,b such that

$ax+by=d$ where $d=gcd(x,y)$.

"Make d out of sum of multiples of x and y."

What is multiplicative inverse of x modulo m?

By extended GCD theorem, when $\gcd(x,m)=1$.

$$ax+bm=1$$
$$ax \equiv 1-bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

So a multiplicative inverse of $x \pmod{m}$!!
Example: For $x=12$ and $y=35$, $\gcd(12,35)=1$.

$(3)12+(-1)35=1$.

$a=3$ and $b=-1$.
The multiplicative inverse of $12 \pmod{35}$ is 3.

Make d out of x and y..?

```
gcd(35,12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;;  gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;;  gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1,0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?
$35-\lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35-(2)12=11$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?
$12-\lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12-(1)11=1$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.
$1=12-(1)11=12-(1)(35-(2)12)=(3)12+(-1)35$
Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify. $a=3$ and $b=-1$.

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Claim: Returns (d,a,b): $d=gcd(a,b)$ and $d=ax+by$.
Example: $a-\lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b =$ [illegible]

```
ext-gcd(35,12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
      ext-gcd(1,0)
      return (1,1,0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
    return (1,0,1)   ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
  return (1,1,-1)    ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
return (1,-1, 3)     ;; 1 = (-1)35 +(3)12
```

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Theorem: Returns (d,a,b), where $d = gcd(a,b)$ and

$$d = ax + by.$$

Correctness.

Proof: Strong Induction.[1]
Base: ext-gcd$(x,0)$ returns $(d = x, 1, 0)$ with $x = (1)x + (0)y$.

Induction Step: Returns (d, A, B) with $d = Ax + By$
Ind hyp: **ext-gcd**$(y, \mod(x,y))$ returns (d,a,b) with
$d = ay + b(\mod(x,y))$

ext-gcd(x,y) calls **ext-gcd**$(y, \mod(x,y))$ so

$$\begin{aligned} d &= ay + b\cdot(\mod(x,y)) \\ &= ay + b\cdot(x - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor y) \\ &= bx + (a - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor\cdot b)y \end{aligned}$$

And ext-gcd returns $(d, b, (a - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor\cdot b))$ so theorem holds! □

[1] Assume d is $gcd(x,y)$ by previous proof.

Review Proof: step.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Recursively: $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor\cdot y) \implies d = bx - (a - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor b)y$

Returns $(d, b, (a - \lfloor\frac{x}{y}\rfloor\cdot b))$.

Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in $O(n)$ time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...
$2^{n/2}$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?
$\leq$ 80 divisions.
versus 1,000,000

Internet Security.
Public Key Cryptography: 512 digits.
512 divisions vs.
$(10000000000000000000000000000000000000000)^5$ divisions.

Internet Security: Next Week!

Example: $p = 7$, $q = 11$.

$N = 77$.
$(p-1)(q-1) = 60$
Choose $e = 7$, since $\gcd(7,60) = 1$.
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned} 7(0) + 60(1) &= 60 \\ 7(1) + 60(0) &= 7 \\ 7(-8) + 60(1) &= 4 \\ 7(9) + 60(-1) &= 3 \\ 7(-17) + 60(2) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Confirm: $-119 + 120 = 1$

$d = e^{-1} = -17 = 43 = \pmod{60}$